

June 27, 2006
RECEIVED

In the United States District Court JUN 28 A 9:25
Federal Court for the Middle Dist.
P.O. Box 711, Montgomery, AL
36130

RECEIVED, MAILING CLK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT ALA

Please,

Terry Case #142607)
vs Petitioner) 3:06CV-547
State of Alabama) MHT (W.O)
Respondent)

Jurisdiction to Render
Proper Judgment At

28 USC 636, et Title 15-18-8 (a)

(1) The Court has jurisdiction to
Interfered Alabama State Laws,
26.12(6)(C) A.Rule Civ. P.

- 2) when the Respondent, misinterpreted
13A-6-4, to be read as felony
- 3) for Involuntary man slaughter
- 4) the Eleventh Circuit has nothing
to do with this Court jurisdiction
(28 U.S.C. 2254, 42 U.S.C 1983)
(41 U. 499 - 500)

Grounds of Prefiled Petition

II

2) The Petitioner Alleged that the Court was without jurisdiction to Render the Judgement & to Impose the Sentence

III

2) King v. state, 689 So.2d 937
Petitioner, A Petition Can Not Be Certified Under the New Rules of Law i.e. of Discovery

IV.

3) The Rules of Discovery Process Admits, Involuntary Manslaughter Is Not a Felony

4) Therefore The Eleventh Circuit Is with ~~out~~ jurisdiction, to Define a Misdemeanor Conviction Terry Catt v. State 85'

Retroactive Issues

A.

whether this Court never intended
to hear PETITIONER HABEAS
PETITION

B.

OR The Court must has Intended
to has prasgull all priors
for reason asserted 13A-6-4,
Code 1975 USCA.?

C.

The Petent Court jurisdiction
415 U.S 528 (1967) has never
had any doubts about their
jurisdiction 28 U.S.C. 1843(b)
HAGAN V. LEVINE, 415 U.S 528
(1967) U.SCA (?) at 28 U.S.C. 2244?
Bell V. Hood & ipra